Letter to the Editor: Backbone and sequence-specific assignment of three forms of the lipoate-containing H-protein of the glycine decarboxylase complex

Laure Guilhaudis^a, Jean-Pierre Simorre^{a,*}, Eftychia Bouchayer^{a,**}, Michel Neuburger^b, Jacques Bourguignon^b, Roland Douce^b, Dominique Marion^a & Pierre Gans^a

^aInstitut de Biologie Structurale 'Jean-Pierre Ebel' (CEA-CNRS), 41 rue Jules Horowitz, F-38027 Grenoble Cédex 1, France

^bDépartement de Biologie Moléculaire et Structurale, Laboratoire de Physiologie Cellulaire Végétale (CNRS URA no. 576), CEA-Grenoble, F-38054 Grenoble Cédex 9, France

Received 3 May 1999; Accepted 6 August 1999

Key words: glycine decarboxylase complex, H-protein, lipoate-containing protein

Biological context

In plant and mammalian mitochondria the glycine decarboxylase complex (GDC) catalyses the oxidative decarboxylation of glycine in a multi-step reaction (Kikuchi and Hiraga, 1982; Douce and Neuburger, 1989). The complex consists of four proteins named P, H, T and L. The 14 kDa H-protein, which contains a lipoate molecule covalently bound to a lysine (K63), plays a central role in the reaction mechanism. Initially, the P-protein catalyzes the decarboxylation of glycine: the α -carboxyl is lost as CO₂ and the methylamine group is transferred onto an S atom of the oxidized lipoamide arm. The H-protein, loaded with methylamine, then interacts with the T-protein and the methylene group is transferred to a molecule of tetrahydrofolate. Formation of methylenetetrahydrofolate takes place, and the H-protein is in the reduced form. Finally, the lipoate group is reoxidized by the L-protein, thereby completing the catalytic cycle. In order to recycle methylene-tetrahydrofolate, the conversion, catalyzed by the serine hydroxymethyltransferase complex, of glycine and methylene-tetrahydrofolate to serine occurs.

All attempts to isolate the entire GDC complex have failed and available data seem to indicate that the H-protein behaves as a mobile substrate interacting successively with the different catalytic sites since its cofactor is oxidized, loaded with methylamine or reduced (Oliver et al., 1990; Douce et al., 1994). To gain insight into the glycine decarboxylase complex mechanism, we have initiated NMR structural studies of the H-protein from pea leaf.

In this note, we report the backbone assignments of the apoprotein H (Hapo), the oxidized H-protein (Hox) and the sequence-specific assignments of the form loaded with methylamine (Hmet).

Methods and results

¹⁵N-labelled Hapo, Hox and Hmet samples were made by growing *Escherichia coli* on a minimal medium containing 1 g/l of ¹⁵N-NH₄Cl. ¹⁵N-¹³C-labelled Hmet was obtained using a minimal medium containing 1 g/l of ¹⁵N-NH₄Cl and 2 g/l [¹³C₆]-glucose. The expression, lipoylation, methylamine loading and purification were achieved using techniques described previously (Guilhaudis et al., 1999). All samples were prepared in 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 5.5, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.2% sodium azide and 10% D₂O. The final concentrations were respectively 2 mM (Hmet), 1.3 mM (Hox) and 1 mM (Hapo).

NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AMX-600 NMR spectrometer and on Varian Inova 400 and 600 MHz spectrometers equipped with a tripleresonance (¹H, ¹³C, ¹⁵N) probe including shielded z-gradients. The experiments were conducted initially

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: jps@rmn.ibs.fr

^{**}On leave from Institute of Biology, NCSR, Demokritos 15310, Agia Paraskevi, Attiki, Greece.



Figure 1. 2D 1 H- 15 N HSQC spectrum of Hmet. The number labels indicate the assignment at 291 K and pH 5.5. Side chain NH₂ resonances of asparagine and glutamine are connected by bars. The resonances 12, 72 and 88 are folded from the upfield region in 15 N.

at 35 °C for Hapo and at 18 °C for Hox and Hmet for reasons of sample stability (Guilhaudis et al., 1999). For assignments of the backbone resonances, 3D ¹⁵Nedited NOESY-HSQC (150 ms) and TOCSY-HSQC (60 ms) spectra were acquired with $512 \times 50 \times 225$ (¹H, ¹⁵N, ¹H) complex points on the ¹⁵N uniformly labelled samples. For Hapo, ¹H and ¹⁵N assignments at 18 °C were obtained from 1H-15N HSQC recorded at 35, 30, 24 and 18 °C. For Hmet, confirmation of sequential connectivities was made from a 3D HNCA (Muhandiram and Kay, 1994) and assignments of non-aromatic side chains were obtained from a 3D HCCH-TOCSY (Kay et al., 1993). For the HNCA and HCCH-TOCSY experiments 512×50×100 (¹H, ¹⁵N, 13 C) and 512×100×128 (¹H, ¹³C, ¹H) complex points were acquired, respectively. Aromatic spin systems were assigned using ¹H-¹H NOESY spectra and a ¹H-¹³C HSQC experiment optimised for the aromatic resonances.

All data were processed using Felix (MSI technologies). Proton chemical shifts were reported with respect to the H₂O signal relative to DSS. The ¹⁵N and ¹³C chemical shifts were referenced indirectly using the ¹H/X frequency ratios of the zero-point: 0.101329118 (¹⁵N) and 0.251449536 (¹³C) (Wishart et al., 1995).

Extent of assignments and data deposition

The ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC spectrum of the ¹⁵N-labelled Hmet is shown in Figure 1. For Hmet, we have assigned all the protonated ¹⁵N resonances of the backbone and from asparagine, glutamine and tryptophan side chains except for Trp 15. With the exception of the residues 1, 46 and 52, 95.3% of the non-labile ¹H resonances and 95.7% of the protonated ¹³C (the aromatic carbons are not included) have been assigned. The ¹³C α resonances for residues 1, 46 and 52 have been assigned. Assignment of the ¹³C and ¹H resonances of the CH₂ unit of the methylamine group has been previously reported (Guilhaudis et al., 1999).

Assignments were obtained unambiguously for 95.1% and 97.6% of non-prolyl backbone residues of Hapo and Hox respectively. Sequence-specific assignments for Hmet and backbone assignments for Hox and Hapo have been deposited in the BioMagResBank database (accession numbers: Hmet: 4336, Hox: 4337, Hapo: 4338).

Acknowledgements

This is publication no. 710 of the Institut de Biologie Structurale. L.G. was a recipient of an MESR fellowship.

References

- Douce, R. and Neuburger, M. (1989) Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol., 40, 371–414.
- Douce, R., Bourguignon, J., Macherel, D. and Neuburger, M. (1994) Biochem. Soc. Trans., 22, 184–188.
- Guilhaudis, L., Simorre, J.P., Blackledge, M., Neuburger, M., Bourguignon, J., Douce, R., Marion, D. and Gans, P. (1999) *Biochemistry*, 38, 8334–8346.
- Kay, L.E., Xu, G.Y., Singer, A.U., Muhandiram, D.R. and Kay-Forman, J.D. (1993) J. Magn. Reson., B101, 333–337.
- Kikuchi, G. and Hiraga, K. (1982) Mol. Cell. Biochem., 45, 137– 149.
- Muhandiram, D.R. and Kay, L.E. (1994) J. Magn. Reson. B103, 203–216.
- Oliver, D.J., Neuburger, M., Bourguignon, J. and Douce, R. (1990) *Plant Physiol.*, **94**, 833–839.
- Wishart, D.S., Bigam, C.G., Yao, J., Abildgaard, F., Dyson, H.J., Oldfield, E., Markley, J.L. and Sykes, B.D. (1995) *J. Biomol. NMR*, 6, 135–140.